1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 DISTRICT OF NEVADA \* \* \* 6 7 DENNIS TALLMAN, Case No. 2:09-CV-944 JCM (PAL) 8 Plaintiff(s), 9 v. 10 CPS SECURITY (USA), INC., et al., 11 Defendant(s). 12 Presently before the court is plaintiff Dennis Tallman's unopposed motion for entry of an 13 additional judgment. (ECF Nos. 284, 288). 14 On September 8, 2016, the Ninth Circuit clerk amended the court's mandate, ordering that 15 plaintiff's unopposed motion for an award of attorney's fees and expenses on appeal in the amount 16 of \$36,560 be granted. (App. Docket No. 42). Plaintiff's counsel, Leon Greenberg, states that he 17 attempted to contact defendants' counsel and had reason to believe that the matter of payment 18 would be resolved. (Id. at 284 at 2-3). However, over a month and a half has elapsed since 19 Greenberg commenced these communications, and there has been no indication to the court that 20 defendants have complied with the Ninth Circuit's order. (*Id.*).

Plaintiff on November 17, 2016, submitted a notice of non-opposition to this court. (ECF No. 288). Plaintiff argues therein that defendants have failed to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and this court's Local Rules of Practice. This court concurs that there has been no response from defendants within the deadlines promulgated by Local Rule 7-2. (*Id.*).

ORDER

Title 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) authorizes a court to "allow a reasonable attorney's fee to be paid by the defendant . . . ." Additionally, a "party seeking an award of fees must submit evidence supporting the hours worked and the rates claimed." Van Gerwen v. Guarantee Mut. Life Co., 214 F.3d 1041, 1045 (9th Cir. 2000). Although Local Rule 7-2(d) may be procedurally dispositive, this court, in fairness, will nevertheless examine the merits of this motion,

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff's counsel declares under penalty of perjury that he has spent an hour generating the present motion and communicating with defendants' counsel. (ECF No. 284). Greenberg requests that an hourly rate of \$720 be applied to this time and that the product be added to the sum granted in the Ninth Circuit's order. (ECF No. 284). The total pecuniary sum requested by plaintiff in this motion for additional judgment is therefore \$37,280. (*Id.*).

Plaintiff's underlying motion for attorney fees was granted by a Ninth Circuit clerk's order regarding an unopposed motion for an award of attorney's fees and expenses on appeal. (App. Docket No. 42). Defendants have failed to contest plaintiff's calculation of the appropriate fee in either that motion or the present one. (*See id.*; ECF No. 284). Upon review of the record and the affirmation of plaintiff's counsel, this court does not believe that it should deviate from the fee rate permitted by the Ninth Circuit order. (App. Docket No. 42). Furthermore, one hour appears to be a reasonable calculation of the time required by plaintiff's counsel to draft the present motion and attempt to contact defendants' counsel by email and phone. (*See* ECF No. 284); *see also* 29 U.S.C. § 216(b).

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that plaintiff Dennis Tallman's motion for entry of an additional judgment, (ECF No. 284) be, and the same hereby is, GRANTED.

DATED November 29, 2016.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE